

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,091	07/07/2003	07/07/2003 Hiroshi Tani		6520	
24956 7	590 01/14/2005	EXAMINER			
MATTINGLY 1800 DIAGON	Y, STANGER & MAI	RESAN, STEVAN A			
SUITE 370			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	1773	1773		

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/613,091		TANI ET AL.				
		Examin r		Art Unit				
		Stevan A. Re		1773				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□								
Disposition of Claims								
5)								
Applicat	ion Papers			,				
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date	,	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa	te	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/613,091 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 7, 10, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The deletion of cyclic phosphazine from the claims is not enabled by the disclosure See page 6 lines 15-29 which requires its presence.

5. The amendment filed 9 November 2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material that is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The deletion of the cyclic phosphazine from the structure of the end group. The examiner considers the cyclic phosphazine to be an integral part of the end group.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter (i.e. restore cyclic phosphazine) in the reply to this Office Action.

Art Unit: 1773

6. Claims 7, 10, 11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The phosphazine structure (1) critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

See page 6 lines 15-29.

Claims 10 and 14 are rejected for their dependence from a claim rejected under 35 USC 112.

7. Claims 7, 10, 11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 7, 10, 11, 14 and new claim 16 recites an unclear chemical structure of the group represented by (A) without specific structural details. Therefore there is also insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

8. Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shirai et al. US 6730403.

Shirai et al discloses a magnetic recording medium having a substrate, magnetic layer, a carbon overcoat layer and a liquid lubricant of a perfluoropolyether structure having a cyclic phosphazine at the end of the perfluoropolyether structure. Shirai exemplifies a carbon coating of 15 nm thickness and not less than 5 nm. Shirai et al do not disclose a carbon layer formed by an ion beam method. However it was old in the

art at the time of the invention to minimize the carbon protective layer thickness in order to reduce head spacing loss while maintaining a sufficient thickness for durability.

Formed by an ion beam method has been given no weight.

Process limitations (as in claims 15,17) carry no weight in these article claims unless they can be shown to produce a patentably distinct article. An ion beam produced carbon layer is an art recognized equivalent to several DLC carbon layers formed by other methods. (See Chen US 6517956 Col 5 lines 17-26 who teach 1-5 nm coatings made by various methods).

Substitution of equivalents requires no express motivation as long as the prior art recognizes the equivalency.

In re Fount 213 USPQ 532 (CCPA 1982); In re Siebentritt 152 USPQ 618 (CCPA 1967): Graver Tank & Mfg. Co. Inc. v. Linde Air Products Co. 85 USPQ 328 (USSC 1950).

With respect to claim 18

It would have also been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to smooth and make the surface of the carbon layer unifiorm in order to reduce noise caused by vertical head movement during reading.

9. Applicant's arguments filed 9 November 2004 with respect to claims 7, 10, 11, and 14 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as the claims have been deemed indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,091

Art Unit: 1773

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stevan A. Resan whose telephone number is 571-272-1513. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Thurs from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones, can be reached at 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,091 Page 6

Art Unit: 1773

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

STEVAN A. RESAN